Ĺ Benjamin Scott Miner 8633 La Mesa Blvd # 95 2 La Mesa, California 91942 DEC - 9 2015 3 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT BY SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 BENJAMIN SCOTT MINER, 6 Plaintiff, '15 CV 27 66 CAB MDD 7 ٧. Case No 8 BRANDON BLACK - CEO, MIDLAND FUNDING LLC. 9 COMPLAINT 10 Defendant. 11 JURISDICTION 12 This is an action that alleges that this court has federal 13 question jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 14 because: 15 a. The plaintiff is an individual residing in and a citizen of the state 16 of California 17 b. The defendant is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California 18 With its principle place of business in 19 San Diego , CA 20 c. There is a complete diversity of citizenship between plaintiff and the 21 defendant. 22 DEFINITIONS 23 The plaintiff, Benjamin S. Miner is a "consumer" as defined by 24 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), as "any natural person obligated or allegedly 25 obligated to pay any debt." $Y_{i,j}$ The defendant, Midland Funding, LLC ______ is a fabit calls to These defined by x = 0.00 , $x = 0.02a_1 6 x = 0.00$, x = 0.00 for the star such

Page - 15 s

instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principle purpose of which is the collection of any debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, any debts owed or due or asserted to be owedor due to another." C.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

4. 05/25/2015 0n , The plaintiff obtained a copy of his credit report from Equifax Information Services, Experian Information Solutions, and Trans Union Corporation which revealed that

("defendant") had placed an entry on plaintiffs credit report which communicated that plaintiff allegedly had an account in default with the defendant in the amount of \$ 152.00 through the original assignment from dated 02/26/2014 . See Exhibit A.

- 5. On June 23,2015 , the defendant took receipt of plaintiffs "Notice of Relief", requesting the defendant validate the alleged debt pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a) and 1692g(b), through certified mail receipt which is numbered and attached as ExhibitB. It should be noted that 70081830000450345325 the defendant refused top respond to plaintiffs validation request. D. CLAIM ONE
- The plaintiff asserts that defendant violated section 6. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act when the defendant reported to Equifax Information Services, Experian Information Solutions, and Trans Union Corpporation that the plaintiff is in default with the defendant in the amount of \$152.00 through assignment from the original creditor. See ExhibitA
- 7. Snyder v. Gordon, 2012 U.S. Dist LEXIS 120659, (9th Cir.) which states, " The FDCPA prohibits the false representation of the

"character, amount, or legal status" of any debt. § 1692e(2)(A).

A misstatement of a debt need not be knowing or intentional to create liability under this section. Clark, 460 F.3d at 1176."

- 8. The defendant intended to manipulate the plaintiff by falsely representing that the alleged debt which is a violation of the Fair Debt Gollections Practices Act ("FDCPA"). This constitutes a vilation of the "Act" as a matter of law.
- 9. The plaintiff hereby seeks statutory damages in the amount of \$1,000.00 for the defendants violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) of the ("FDCPA").

E. <u>CLAIM TWO</u>

- U.S.C. § 1692e(8) of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act when the defendant communicated false information concerning the alleged debt that the plaintiff never owed to the defendant, nor had the alleged debt been assigned to the defendant. The defendant communicated to Equifax Information Services, Experian Information Solutions, and Trans Union Corporation false information, stating that the defendant had been assigned to collect said debt from the plaintiff, and the plaintiff was in default for \$ 152.00 to the defendant. See Exhibit A.
- 11. Nelson v. Equifax Information Secvices. LLC. 522 F. Supp. 2d 1222 (9th Cir. 2007) states, "in order to sustain a section 1692e(8) claim, a party must show that a debt collector communicated or threatened to communicate credit information which they knew or should have known was false, including failing to communicate that debt was disputed". Midland Funding, LLC never had a valid assignment authorizing them to pursue and report to credit bureaus alleged debts

F.

1

G.

7

associated with the plaintiff.

11. Plaintiff hereby seeks statutory damages in the amount of \$1,000.00 for the defendants violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8) of the ("FDCPA").

CLAIM THREE

- 12. Plaintiff asserts that defendant violated seection 15 U.S.C § 1692e(10) of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act when the defendant used false and deceptive means to attempt to collect a debt from the plaintiff. By communicating false information, the defendant attempted to gain an advantage of an unsophisticated consumer through false srepresentation.
- 13. Heathman v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs., LLC, U.S. Dist. Lexis 27057 (9th Cir. 2013) states, "A debt collector violates section 1692e(10) if it 'use[s]... a false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer ("a debt collectors representation that a debt is owed to it when in fact is not, amounts to a misrepresentation barred by the ("FDCPA")."
- 14. Plaintiff hereby seeks statutory damages in the amount of \$1,000.00 for the defendants violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) of the ("FDCPA").

CLAIM FOUR

15. Plaintiff asserts that defendant violated section 15 U.S.G. § 1692e(12) of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act when the defendant communicated the false representation that the alleged account was turned over to Midland Funding, LLC for value to Equifax Information Services, Experian Information Solutions, and Trans Union Corporation

Н.

7 See ExhibitA. The defendant never received assignment to pursue or report to credit bureaus alleged debts associated with the plaintiff.

- 16. Fortunato v. Hop Law Firm, LLC, U.S. Dist. LEXIS 152712 (9th Cir. 2012) states, "Section 1692e(12) prohibits" The false representation or implication that accounts have been turned over to innocent purchasers for value", when determining whether a misrepresentation in a debt collection has been made, the court must apply the "least sophisticated debtor" standard and make a determination as to whether the debt would be "deceived or mislead by the misrepresentation", quoting Wade v.Reg'l Credit Ass'n, 87 F. 3d 1098, 1098-100 (9th Cir. 2006).
- 17. The plaintiff hereby seeks statutory damages in the amount of \$1,000.00 for the defendants violation of 15 U.S.C § 1692e(12) of the ("FDCPA").

CLAIM FIVE

- 18. Plaintift asserts defendant violated section 15 U.S.C. §
 1692f(1) of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act when the defendant used unconscionable means to attempt to collect an alleged debt by reporting to Equifax Information Services, Experian Information Solutions, and Trans Union Corporation that Midland Funding, LLC ned obtained legal permission, documented by a valid assignment that the plaintift was now in default with a debt owed to the defendant with a debt owed to the defendant with the attempt to force the plaintiff to pay the § 152.00 allegedly owed.
- 19. Sukiasyan v. OCS Recovery Inc., U.S. Dist. LEXIS 29877 (9th Cir. 2013) states, 'a debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.

- 20. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section: (1) The collection of any amount (including any interest fee, energy, or expense incidental to the principle obligation) unless such amount is expressingly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law." Minus the agreement to collect on the attempted debt, the defendant is in direct violation of this section.
- 21. Plaintiff hereby seeks statutory damages in the amount of \$1,000.00 for the defendants violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1) of the ("FDCPA").

I. CLAIM SIX

- 22. The plaintiff asserts that defendant violated section
 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b) of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act when
 he refused to respond to plaintiffs validation request. The defendant
 took receipt of plaintiffs "Notice of Administrative Remedy" and
 requested that the defendant provide the original creditors name,
 address, and verification of the alleged assignment or documents that
 gives Midland Funding, LLC the legal right to pursue and report to
 credit bureaus alleged debts associated with the plaintiff. The defendant
 took receipt of plaintiffs request for validation through certified
 mail receipt # 70081830000450345325 , which is attached as ExhibitB.
- 23. Danaher v. Northstar Location Servs., U.S. Dist. LEXIS 77606 (9th Cir. 2013) states, "If the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period described in subsection (a) of this section that the debt, or any portion tereof is disputed, or that the consumer requests the name and address of the original creditor, the debt collector shall cease collection of the debt, or any disputed

J.

Κ.

portion thereof, until the debt collector obtains verification or judgement, or name and address of the original creditor, is mailed to the consumer by the debt collector." By Midland Funding, LLC refusing to communicate with the plaintiff regarding the original creditor and the alleged assignment or documents that granted the defendant the right to report to the credit reporting agencies that the defendant legally obtained the alleged debt. The defendant is in violation of section 1692g(b).

24. The plaintiff hereby seeks statutory damages in the amount of \$1,000.00 for the defendants violation of 15 U.S.C. \$ 1692g(b) of the ("FDCPA").

DAMAGES

- 25. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k Civil Liablity (a) Amount of Damages Except as otherwise prohibited by this section, any debt collector who fails to comply with any provision of this title [15 USCS §§ et seq] with respect to any person is liable to such person in an amount equal to the sum of:
- (2)(A) in the case of any action by an individual, such additional damages as the court may allow, but not exceeding \$1,000.00.

REQUESTED RELIEF

CLAIM ONE: A violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692(2)(A) of the ("FDCPA") is \$1,000.00 for the false representation of debt reported to Equifax Information Services, Experian Information Solutions, and Trans Union Corporation.

TOTAL DAMAGES: + \$3,000.00

CLAIM TWO: A violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8) of the ("FDCPA") is \$1,000.00 for submitting false information to each of the three credit reporting agencies Equifax Information Services, Experian Information Solutions, and Trans Union Corporation by the defendant.

<u>TOTAL DAMAGES:</u> + \$3,000.00

CLAIM THREE: A violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) of the ("FDCPA") is \$1,000.00 for the false communication by the defendant to Equifax Information Services, Experian Information Solutions, and Trans Union Corporation.

TOTAL DAMAGES: + \$3,000.00

CLAIM FOUR: A violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(12) of the ("FDCPA") is \$1,000.00 for the false representation by the defendant regarding the plaintiffs alleged debt reported to Equifax Information Services, Experian Information Solutions, and Trans Union Corporation.

<u>TOTAL DAMAGES:</u> + \$3,000.00

CLAIM FIVE: A violation of 15 U.S.C § 1692f(1) of the ("FDCPA") is \$1,000.00 for the unconscionable means used by the defendant to attempt to collect on a debt by the plaintiff. This includes reporting to the three major credit reporting agencies Equifax Information Services, Experian Information Solutions, and Trans Union Corporation of the unconscionalbe means to collect.

<u>TOTAL DAMAGES:</u> + \$3,000.00

CLAIM SIX: A violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b) of the ("FDCPA") is \$1,000.00 for refusing to validate the alleged debt that the defendant reported to the three credit reporting agencies, Equifax Information Services, Experian Information Solutions, and Trans Union Corporation.

<u>TOTAL DAMAGES:</u> + \$1,000.00

- 26. The total amount of damages requested by the plaintiff is \$16,350.00.
- 27. The \$350.00 added is the court cost associated with this action.

I Benjamin Miner , hereby declare under penalty of perjury in the state of California , that the information stated above and any attachments to this form is true and correct.

DATED: 07/24/2015

Benjamin Scott Miner

EXHIBIT A

	CHILLA DINAZUHM	GE CAPITAL RETAIL Feb BANK	26, 2014 Open	\$152
	Account Details		Creditor Contact Details	
*	Last Reported Collection Agency Original Creditor	May 08, 2015 MIDLAND FUND GE CAPITAL RETAIL BANK	MIDLAND FUNDING LLC 8875 AERO DR 200 SAN DIEGO, CA 92123 (844) 236-1959	
	Status Opened Date	Open Feb 26, 2014	See something wrong?	
	Closed Date Responsibility Balance High Balance Remarks	- Individual \$152 \$152	Learn more about how to dispute iter	ms on your credit report.
		Placed for collection		
	CA BUS BUR	SCRIPPSHEALTH Mar (CHULA VISTA	02, 2015 Open	\$1,057
	Account Details		Creditor Contact Details	
$^{\odot}$	Last Reported Collection Agency Original Creditor	Apr 21, 2015 CA BUS BUR SCRIPPSHEALTH	CALIF BUSINESS BUREAU 1711 S MOUNTAIN AVE MONROVIA, CA 91017 (626) 303-1515	
	Status Opened Date	CHULA VISTA Open	See something wrong?	
	Closed Date Responsibility	Mar 02, 2015 Individual	Lean more about how to dispute item	ns on your credit report.
	Balance High Balance Remarks	\$1,051 \$1,050 Placed for collection		
			en e	
	RCVL PER MNG	13 ATT Dec 03	3, 2014 Open	\$695
	Account Details		Creditor Contact Details	
	Last Reported Collection Agency Original Creditor Status	Jan 25, 2015 RCVL PER MNG 11 AT T Open	RECEIVABLES PERFORMANCE 20816 441H AVE WES LYNNWOOD, WA 98036 (866) 212-7408	
	Opened Date Closed Date Responsibility Salance	Dec 03, 2014 Joint \$695	See samething wrong? Learn more about how to dispute items on your credit report.	
	High Balance Remarks	\$695 Placed for collection		

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY		
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse	A Signature Gerry Saradpon Agent Addressee		
so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the malipiece, or on the front if space permits.	B. Received by (Brinted Name) C. Date of Delivery		
1. Article Addressed to: Attn: Brandon Black, (Eo Midland Credit Mingmit	D. Is delivery and the property of the second of the secon		
8875 Aero Drive #200 San Diégo, CA 92123	3. Service Medico Co. Di Certine Mail U D Express Mali Di Registered Di Return Receipt for Merchandise Di Insured Mail D.C.O.D. 4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) Di Yes		
2. Article Number 7008 1830			
PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Ret	urn Receipt 102595-02-M-1540		